(c) what are the points discussed at the conference held at London in June, 1990; and

(d) India's contribution at the conference and action taken on the recommendation of the deliberations?

THE MINISTER OF ENVIRONMENT AND FORESTS (SHRI NILAMANI ROUTRAY): (a) The list of the countries which participated in the Montreal Protocol Conference of 1987, is annexed. (*See* below)

(b) The record of discussions which took place in the 1987 Montreal Protocol Conference is summed up in the document titled "Montreal Protocol on substances that deplete the Ozone Layer", copies of which are placed at the Parliament Library for perusal of the Hon'ble Member of Parliament.

(c) A copy of the record of the discussions held at the London Conference in June, 1990 has been placeed at the Parliament Library for perusal by the Hon'ble Member of Parliament.

(d) India played a leading role in voicing the concerns of the developing countries with regard to adjustments and amendments to the Montreal Protocol. In the light of the decisions arrived at the London Conference, the Government is considering the issue of joining the Montreal Protocol.

Statement

Countries which participated in the 1987 Montreal Protocol conference

Algeria, Argentina, Australia, Austria, Belgium, Brazil, Burkins Faso, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Canada, Chile, China, Colombia, Congo, Costa Rica, Czechoslovakia, Denmark, Democratic Yemen, Egypt, Finland, France, Germany-Federal Republic of, Ghana, Greece, Indonesia, Israel, Italy, Japan, Kenya, Korea-Republic of, Lurembourg, Malaysia, Mauritius, Mexico, Morocco, Netherlands, New Zealand, Nigeria, Norway, Panama, Peru, Philippines, Portugal, Senegal, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Thailand, Togo, Tunisia, Uganda, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United States of America, Venezuela.

Countries which attended the proceedings of the conference as observers:

Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Hungary, India, Kuwait, Poland

AID from world bank for upgradation polytechnique

1435. SHRI KRISHAN LAL SHARMA: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have decided to upgrade the polytechnique spread over in various States;

(b) whether any aid had been sought from the World Bank in this regard; and

(c) if so, the quantum of aid received and its distribution, State-wise?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI CHIMANBHAI MEHTA): (a) to (c) The World Bank has agreed to provide assistance for a project for upgrading technician (polytechnic) education in the country. For Phase I of the project, the duration of which is 1990-1997, the World Bank assistance will be US 260 million (IDA Credit-US 235 million and IBRD Loan - US 25 million) covering polytechnics approved by AICTE in the States of Bihar, Gujarat, Karnataka, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Orissa, Rajasthan and Uttar Pradesh. The expenditure proposed to be incurred during the first five years by

the States under the project is as follows:

Bihar	Rs. 88 crores
Gujarat	Rs. 65 crores
Karnataka	Rs. 50 crores
Kerala	Rs. 34 crores
Madhya Pradesh	Rs. 100 crores
Orissa	Rs. 57 crores
Rajasthan	Rs. 45 crores
Uttar Pradesh	Rs. 200 crores.

The States will get reimbursement of about 70 per cent of total expenditure on admissible items.

Commemorative Volume on Pt. G. B. Pant

1436. MISS SAROJ KHAPARDE: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether special articles/reminiscenes were invited during the centenary year of Pt. Gobind Ballabh Pant from eminent and distinguished persons for inclusion in a commemorative volume to be brought out shortly;

(b) if so, the said volume has since been finalised;

(c) if not, the reasons therefor giving the names of persons entrusted with the job and action taken against the defaulters; and

(d) whether the proposal has been dropped?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI CHIMANBHAI MEHTA): (a) and

(b) Yes Sir.

(c) Does not arise.

(d) No, Sir.

1437. [*Transferred to the 29th August*, 1990]

Causes of delay in the implementation of National literacy mission through Nehru Yuva Sangathan

1438. SHRI SAMAR MUKHERJEE: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Adult Education Officers/Youth Co-ordinators/APO who did not get the money directly from Government are not responsible for delay in implementation of National Literacy Mission project but the Nehru Yuva Kendra Sangathan itself who received the money/project from the Ministry and had have full control of the budget;

(b) whether it is also a fact that the Director General of Nehru Yuva Kendra Sangathan is the main person responsible for the delay caused and breaking the cycle of success of the programme through Nehru Yuva Kendra in India and making Instructor and other staff out of job;

(c) if so, the details thereof; and

(d) whether Government propose to get the National Literacy Mission Project implemented through Nehru Yuva Kendra Sangathan and those persons who were employed earlier would be continued to be employed; stating since how long the scheme would be continued through Nehru Yuva Kendra Sangathan?